arXiv:1202.2843v1 [hep-ph] 13 Feb 2012

Seeking Partonic Pictures of Proton Spin

Xiangdong Ji,[1,2,3] Xiaonu Xiong,[2,4] and Feng Yuan[4]
[1]*Shanghai Laboratory for Particle Physics and Cosmology,*
Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, P. R. China
[2]*Center for High-Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100080, P. R. China*
[3]*Maryland Center for Fundamental Physics,*
University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
[4]*Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley*
National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

(Dated: February 14, 2012)

Abstract

We analyze the proton's longitudinal and transverse spin in terms of parton's spin and orbital angular momentum contributions in the infinite momentum frame, emphasizing experiment measurability. The simple partonic sum rule is shown to exist only for the transverse polarization and is related to the twist-two generalized parton distributions (GPD's). The longitudinal spin, however, necessarily involves parton transverse momentum and parton correlations. In a gauge-invariant approach, parton contributions to the proton helicity are shown to be related to twist-two and -three GPD's and are amenable to lattice QCD calculations. A simpler but subtler parton picture emerges in a light-cone gauge approach through a quantum phase-space Wigner distribution.

1. Understanding the proton spin structure has been a driving motive for intense spin-physics activities in the hadron physics community. Much progress has been made both experimentally and theoretically in the last two decades [1]. Studies of deep-inelastic scattering and related hard processes at the electron facilities at SLAC, DESY, CERN, and JLab, and of polarized proton-proton collisions at relativistic heavy-ion collider (RHIC), have generated a large body of interesting data, revealing delicate roles of quarks and gluons in the nucleon spin decomposition. Theoretical framework has also advanced significantly from longitudinal parton distributions and simple quark models. Introduction of new observations such as generalized parton distributions (GPD's) and transverse-momentum-dependent distributions (TMD's), along with lattice quantum chromodynamics (QCD) calculations has provided powerful tools to expose the partonic structure in coordinate and momentum spaces. Although the final answer is not yet here, we are imminently close to revealing what makes up the proton spin.

A line of the approaches that preserves the fundamental gauge and Lorentz symmetry has been followed by one of the present authors [2]. Starting from the angular momentum density of QCD, one can derive the gauge-invariant contributions to the proton spin from the quark spin, orbital angular momentum (OAM), and gluon angular momentum. The separate quark and gluon contributions can be accessed through GPD's which in principle can be measured from hard exclusive processes [3]. An important virtue of this approach is frame-independence [4]: The resulting spin decomposition is valid in all frames preserving the proton helicity. In particular, it works in the rest frame, where lattice QCD calculations and nucleon modeling are usually done. The decomposition is also valid in the infinite momentum frame (IMF) where the nucleon travels at the speed of light.

In this paper, we seek partonic interpretations of the proton spin, emphasizing simple pictures in IMF and experimental measurability. In IMF, interactions between partons are slowed down due to Lorentz time dilation, and the proton is to a good approximation made of free partons. It is then reasonable to expect that the proton spin is a direct sum of the parton angular momentum (AM). As one shall see, however, this naive expectation has not been easy to meet. Indeed there is a long list of literature on this topic. In a classical paper by Jaffe and Manohar [5], AM density and sum rules were first thoroughly considered. The partonic sum rule for the nucleon helicity was introduced in [6]. The impact parameter space was used by Burkardt to explain the physical significance of GPD's and the nucleon transverse polarization [7]. More recently, the relation between angular momentum in light-cone gauge and quantum Wigner distribution [8, 9] has been explored. In our study, we explain why the nucleon helicity has been difficult to depict in terms of partons, and that the simple partoni sum rule exists only for the transverse polarization. We find that the gauge-invariant OAM contribution to the proton helicity is related to twist-two and three GPD's. Finally, the canonical OAM distribution in the light-cone gauge is related to a Wigner distribution, which might be accessible through certain hard processes. Our discussions are mainly focused on quarks, but they can be easily extended to gluons.

Our starting point is the matrix element of the QCD AM density $M^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ in the nucleon plane-wave state [5]

$$\begin{aligned}\langle PS|\int d^4\xi M^{\mu\alpha\beta}(\xi)|PS\rangle = J\frac{2S_\rho P_\sigma}{M^2}(2\pi)^4\delta^4(0)\\ \times\left(\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}P^\mu + \epsilon^{[\alpha\mu\rho\sigma}P^{\beta]} - (\text{trace})\right) + \cdots\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where P^μ and S^μ ($S\cdot P = 0$, $S^2 = -M^2$) are the four-momentum and polarization of the nucleon, and $J = 1/2$ and M are the spin and mass, respectively. The above equation is fully Lorentz-covariant and can be specialized to any frame of references. To seek the partonic interpretation, we consider the nucleon in the IMF along the z-direction and take μ to be $+$ component $[P^+ = (P^0 + P^3)/\sqrt{2}]$. Because of the antisymmetry between α and β, the leading component of the angular momentum density comes from $\alpha = +$ and $\beta = \perp = (1, 2)$. This is only possible if the nucleon is transversely polarized ($S_\perp$) and the matrix element reduces to

$$\langle PS| \int d^4\xi M^{++\perp} |PS\rangle = J \left[\frac{3(P^+)^2 S^{\perp'}}{M^2}\right] (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(0) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $S^{\perp'} = \epsilon^{-+\perp\beta} S^\beta$ with convention of $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$.

The longitudinal polarization supports the matrix element of the next-to-leading AM tensor component M^{+12},

$$\langle PS| \int d^3\vec{\xi} M^{+12} |PS\rangle = J(2S^+)(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(0) \ , \tag{3}$$

which has one P^+-factor less. Thus the nucleon helicity J is a subleading light-cone quantity, and a partonic interpretation will in general involve parton transverse-momentum and correlations.

The above result is in contrary to the common intuition about the role of spin-1/2 particle polarization in hard scattering processes: The polarization vector S^μ has the leading light-cone component $S^+ = P^+$ when the nucleon is longitudinally polarized, and the transverse component $S^\perp$ is subleading in the IMF.

2. According to Eq. (2), one expects a simple partonic interpretation of the transverse proton spin from twist-two distributions. Indeed, the quark AM sum-rule derived in terms of the quark distribution $q(x)$ and GPD $E(x, 0, 0)$ is exactly of this type [2],

$$J_q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \int dx x \left[q_i(x) + E_i(x, 0, 0)\right] \ , \tag{4}$$

where i sums over different flavor of quarks, and similarly for the gluon AM. In [7], Burkardt has proposed an interesting explanation of the above result in the impact parameter space, in which a transversely polarized nucleon state fixed in the transverse plane generates a spatial asymmetric parton density $q(x, b_\perp)$, which yields to the parton's AM contribution to the transverse spin. Note that the above sum rule is different from that of E. Leader [11].

To attribute the above sum rule with a simple parton picture, one has to justify that $(x/2)(q(x) + E(x))$ is the transverse AM density in x, i.e., it is just the contribution to the transverse nucleon spin from partons with longitudinal momentum xP^+. This can be done without the impact-parameter space, although the underlying physics is similar. Define the quark longitudinal momentum density $\rho^+(x, \xi, S^\perp)$ through

$$\rho^+(x, \xi, S^\perp) = x \int \frac{d\lambda}{4\pi} e^{i\lambda x} \langle PS^\perp | \overline{\psi}(-\frac{\lambda n}{2}, \xi) \gamma^+ \psi(\frac{\lambda n}{2}, \xi) | PS^\perp \rangle \ , \tag{5}$$

where n is the conjugation vector associated with P: $n = (0^+, n^-, 0_\perp)$ with $n \cdot P = 1$. A careful calculation shows that beside the usual momentum distribution, it has an additional term

$$\rho^+(x, \xi, S^\perp)/P^+ = xq(x) + \frac{1}{2} x \left(q(x) + E(x)\right) \lim_{\Delta_\perp \to 0} \frac{S^{\perp'}}{M^2} \partial^{\perp\xi} e^{i\xi_\perp \Delta_\perp} \tag{6}$$

where the $\xi^\perp$-dependence comes from the slightly off-forward matrix element, which acts like a "distribution" in mathematical sense: vanishing normally but non-zero when integrated with some kernels. The parton contribution to the transverse spin is just the transverse-space moment of $\rho^+(x,\xi,S^\perp)$,

$$J_q(x) = \frac{M^2}{2(P^+)^2 S^{\perp\prime}(2\pi)^2\delta^2(0)} \int d^2\xi \xi^\perp \rho^+(x,\xi,S^\perp) = \frac{x}{2}(q(x)+E(x)) \ . \tag{7}$$

where we have included the contribution from the energy-momentum component $T^{+\perp}$ through Lorentz symmetry.

3. Most of the experimental probes on the nucleon spin use the longitudinal polarization, and thus it is natural to explore the nucleon helicity in parton picture. Considering the z-component of the quark AM,

$$\begin{aligned} J^3 &= \int d^3\vec{\xi} M^{+12}(\xi) \\ &= \int d^3\vec{\xi}\left[\overline{\psi}\gamma^+(\frac{\Sigma^3}{2})\psi + \overline{\psi}\gamma^+\left(\xi^1(iD^2)-\xi^2(iD^1)\right)\psi\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

while the quark helicity is well-known to have a simple parton density interpretation. However, the quark OAM involves transverse component of the gluon field, and thus is related to three-parton correlations in IMF.

Thus a partonic picture of the orbital contribution to the nucleon helicity necessarily involves parton's transverse momentum. In other words, TMD parton distributions are the right objects for physical measurements and interpretation. In recent years, TMD's and novel effects associated with them have been explored extensively in both theory and experiment [1]. An important theoretical issue related to them is gauge invariance. Whenever a canonical momentum of color-charged particles appears, the gauge symmetry requires that the gauge potential A^μ must be present simultaneously. This is already true when parton's longitudinal momentum distribution is considered: In factorization theorems for DIS, the physical parton represents a gauge-invariant object with a gauge link extended from the location of parton field to infinity along the conjugating light-cone direction n^μ,

$$\Psi_{LC}(\xi) = P\left[\exp\left(-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda n\cdot A(\lambda n+\xi)\right)\right]\psi(\xi) \ . \tag{9}$$

where P indicates path ordering. Therefore, in perturbative diagrams, a parton with momentum $k^+ = xP^+$ represents in fact the sum of all diagrams with longitudinal gluons involved.

When considering parton's transverse momentum, we also need appropriate gauge links formed of gauge potentials. The choice for the gauge links, however, is scattering-process dependent [10]. As a consequence, there is no unique definition for the TMD's. In practical applications, two choices stand out. First one uses the same light-cone gauge link as shown in the above. This choice does lead to light-cone singularities which must be addressed properly in actual calculations [12]. The second choice is a straightline gauge link along the direction of spacetime position ξ^μ,

$$\Psi_{FS}(\xi) = P\left[\exp\left(-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda \xi\cdot A(\lambda\xi)\right)\right]\psi(\xi) \ , \tag{10}$$

The link reduces to unity in Fock-Schwinger gauge, $\xi \cdot A(\xi) = 0$.

To investigate parton's OAM contribution to the proton helicity, one also needs their transverse coordinates. The most natural concept is a phase-space Wigner distribution, which was first introduced in Ref. [13]. A Wigner distribution operator for quarks is defined as

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}(\vec{r}, k) = \int \overline{\Psi}(\vec{r} - \xi/2)\gamma^+ \Psi(\vec{r} + \xi/2) e^{ik\cdot\xi} d^4\xi \ , \tag{11}$$

where $\vec{r}$ is the quark phase-space position and k the phase-space four-momentum. The above quantity is gauge invariant although it does depend on the choices of the gauge link. The Wigner distribution can be define as the expectation value of $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ in the nucleon state,

$$\begin{aligned} & W(k^+ = xP^+, \vec{b}_\perp, \vec{k}_\perp) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int \frac{d^2\vec{q}_\perp}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{dk^-}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\vec{q}_\perp\cdot\vec{b}_\perp} \left\langle \frac{\vec{q}_\perp}{2} \middle| \hat{\mathcal{W}}(0,k) \middle| -\frac{\vec{q}_\perp}{2} \right\rangle \ . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the nucleon has definite helicity 1/2. The quark's OAM distribution follows from the intuition,

$$L(x) = \int (\vec{b}_\perp \times \vec{k}_\perp) W(x, \vec{b}_\perp, \vec{k}_\perp) d^2\vec{b}_\perp d^2\vec{k}_\perp \ , \tag{13}$$

from partons with longitudinal momentum xP^+.

For our purpose, the most appealing choice is Ψ_{FP} because it leads to a light-cone AM density both calculable on lattice and measurable experimentally. To demonstrate this, we need the Taylor expansion,

$$\overline{\Psi}_{FP}(-\xi/2)\gamma^+ \Psi_{FP}(\xi/2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{\psi}(0)\gamma^+ \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu_1} ... \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu_n} \psi(0)\xi_{\mu_1}...\xi_{\mu_n} \ . \tag{14}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int x^{n-1} L_{FS}(x) dx = \langle PS| \int d^3\vec{r} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \overline{\psi}(\vec{r})(in\cdot D)^i \\ \times (\vec{r}_\perp \times i\vec{D}_\perp)(in\cdot D)^{n-1-i}\psi(\vec{r})|PS\rangle \ . \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The right-hand side is related to the matrix elements of twist-2 and twist-3 operators, which are in principle extractable from experimental data on twist-3 GPD's [14, 16]. Because there is no light-cone non-local operators involved, it can also be calculated in lattice QCD [17]. We emphasize that $L_{FS}(x)$ is not the same as the OAM density defined through the generalized AM density in Ref. [15]. The difference is a twist-three GPD contribution proportional to the gluon field $F^{+\perp}$.

The total OAM sum rule in term of parton's Wigner distribution,

$$\frac{\langle PS| \int d^3\vec{r}\ \overline{\psi}(\vec{r})\gamma^+(\vec{r}_\perp \times i\vec{D}_\perp)\psi(\vec{r})|PS\rangle}{\langle PS|PS\rangle} = \int (\vec{b}_\perp \times \vec{k}_\perp) W_{FS}(x, \vec{b}_\perp, \vec{k}_\perp) dx d^2\vec{b}_\perp d^2\vec{k}_\perp \tag{16}$$

which gives a parton picture for the gauge-invariant OAM [2], although the light-cone link destroys the straightforward parton density interpretation.

Other choices of gauge links yield different Wigner distributions and hence different partonic OAM distributions $L(x)$. However, so long the gauge link between $[-\xi/2, \xi/2]$ is smoothly differentiable, Eq. (16) remains valid. This is one of the important virtue of the gauge-invariant approach. However, for partons with the light-cone gauge link, Ψ_{LC}, the above sum rule is invalid, as we shall see below.

4. The approach we follow above emphasizes gauge symmetry and locality, a key for lattice QCD calculations as well as for understanding ultraviolet properties, renormalization and mixing of operators. However, this does not lead to the simplest parton picture because of the presence of gluon partons in the quark AM. In Ref. [5], it has been shown that the total QCD AM operator has a free-field expression,

$$\begin{aligned} J^3 &= \int d^3\vec{\xi}\left[\overline{\psi}\gamma^+(\vec{\xi}\times i\vec{\partial})^3\psi + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\psi}\gamma^+\Sigma^3\psi \right. \\ &\left. +E^i(\vec{\xi}\times\vec{\partial})^3A^i + (\vec{E}\times\vec{A})^3\right] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where all terms are gauge-dependent except for the second. Contrary to the naive expectation, individual terms do not obey the AM commutations in the presence of interactions because the corresponding charges are not conserved. The above equation has motivated myriad attempts to build a simple parton picture for the nucleon helicity because every term might be interpreted in terms of free partons. In deed, Jaffe and Manohar proposed a sum rule [5],

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \tilde{L}_q + \Delta G + \tilde{L}_g \ . \tag{18}$$

where $\Delta\Sigma$ and ΔG is the quark and gluon helicity, and $\tilde{L}_q$ and $\tilde{L}_g$ are OAM contributions, defined as the matrix elements of the operators in Eq. (17) in a fixed gauge [18]. The parton content of the above contributions in $A^+ = 0$ gauge has been explored in Ref. [6], yielding for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}^q(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 2P^+\delta^2(0)}\int\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}e^{ix\lambda}d^2\xi\langle PS|\overline{\psi}(-\frac{\lambda n}{2},\xi)\gamma^+ \\ &\times(\xi^1 i\partial^2 - \xi^2 i\partial^1)\psi(\frac{\lambda n}{2},\xi)|PS\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

as the OAM density of free partons. This apparent simplicity has been shown spoiled by mixing with three-parton correlations under scale evolution [15], reminding that one cannot entirely get away with the higher-twist nature of Eq. (3).

A gauge-dependent quantity is in principle not measurable experimentally. However, sometimes one can fortunately find its *gauge-invariant extension* (GIE) physically measurable. A GIE of a gauge-variant quantity is a fixed-gauge result gauge-invariantly extrapolated to any other gauge. For example, the gluon spin $S_g = (\vec{E}\times\vec{A})^3$ is obviously gauge-variant, and we can define its GIE in the $A^+ = 0$ gauge [19],

$$S_g^{\rm inv} = \frac{i}{2}\int\frac{dx}{x}\int d\xi^- e^{ix\xi^- P^+}F^{+\alpha}(0)L_{[0,\xi^-]}\tilde{F}^{+}{}_{\alpha}(\xi^-) \ , \tag{20}$$

where L is a gauge link along $-$ direction. The matrix element of the manifestly nonlocal $S_g^{\rm inv}$ is measurable as the first moment of the gluon helicity density $\int dx\Delta g(x)$ [19]. There have been significant progress in determining Δg from the experiments at RHIC [20]. In the light-cone gauge, $S_g^{\rm inv}$ reduces to S_g, and can be interpreted as gluon helicity. In general, however, its matrix element does not have a clear physical meaning despite being gauge-invariant. In any other gauge, the gluon spin S_g has no relation whatsoever with $S_g^{\rm inv}$.

A GIE of the partial derivative in $A^+ = 0$ gauge is

$$i\partial_\xi^\perp = iD_\xi^\perp + \int^{\xi^-} d\eta^- L_{[\xi^-,\eta^-]} g F^{+\perp}(\eta^-, \xi_\perp) L_{[\eta^-,\xi^-]} \ . \tag{21}$$

One can plug this into Eq. (19) to obtain an GIE of $\tilde{L}_q(x)$ away from $A^+ = 0$. The covariant derivative term is just what we have discussed before. The second term involves a non-local operator along the light-cone, and has obscure physical meaning other than in the light-cone gauge. Its matrix element is in principle related to the twist-three GPD's [14], and an infinite number of moments are involved due to non-locality. Therefore, we arrive at the interesting conclusion that $\tilde{L}_q(x)$ in light-cone gauge is actually accessible through twist-two and three GPD's, which seems consistent with what Hatta has concluded recently [9].

A clear parton picture emerges through connections between $\tilde{L}_q(x)$ and TMD's and Wigner distributions [8, 9]. One can introduce a Wigner distribution with the gauge link in the light-cone direction, $W_{LC}(x, \vec{b}_\perp, \vec{k}_\perp)$. Integration over the impact parameter space $\int d^2\vec{b}_\perp W_{LC}$ generates quark-spin independent TMD's. It can be shown that the canonical AM distribution in $A^+ = 0$ gauge as defined in [6] can be obtained from the simple moment of a gauge-invariant Wigner distribution,

$$\tilde{L}_q(x) = \int (\vec{b}_\perp \times \vec{k}_\perp) W_{LC}(x, \vec{b}_\perp, \vec{k}_\perp) d^2\vec{b}_\perp d^2\vec{k}_\perp \ . \tag{22}$$

From the discussion of the previous paragraph, this also implies constraints on the moments of Wigner distributions from the GPD's. Finally, the canonical OAM in light-cone gauge acquires the simple but gauge-dependent parton sum rule in the quantum phase space [8, 9],

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_q &= \frac{\langle PS| \int d^3\vec{r}\ \overline{\psi}(\vec{r})\gamma^+(\vec{r}_\perp \times i\vec{\partial}_\perp)\psi(\vec{r})|PS\rangle}{\langle PS|PS\rangle} \\ &= \int (\vec{b}_\perp \times \vec{k}_\perp) W_{LC}(x, \vec{b}_\perp, \vec{k}_\perp) dx d^2\vec{b}_\perp d^2\vec{k}_\perp \ . \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The measurability of this Wigner distribution will be studied in a future publication [16].

To summarize, we explore systematically parton pictures for the proton spin. For the transverse spin, it is simple to interpret in terms of parton AM density measurable through twist-two GPD's. For the nucleon helicity, a gauge-invariant parton picture can be probed through twist-two and three GPDs, and also calculable in lattice QCD. A simpler parton picture in the light-cone gauge can be established through a Wigner distribution, and can be measured through either twist-two and three GPD's or directly from Wigner distribution.

We thank M. Burkardt and J.H.Zhang for helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by the U. S. Department of Energy via grants DE-FG02-93ER-40762 and DE-AC02-05CH11231 and a 985 grant from Shanghai Jiao Tong University.

---

[1] D. Boer, *et al.*, arXiv:1108.1713 [nucl-th].
[2] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997).
[3] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7114 (1997); A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 5524 (1997).
[4] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 056003 (1998).

[5] R. L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B **337**, 509 (1990).

[6] S. Bashinsky and R. L. Jaffe, Nucl. Phys. B **536**, 303 (1998); P. Hagler and A. Schafer, Phys. Lett. B **430**, 179 (1998).

[7] M. Burkardt, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18**, 173 (2003); Phys. Rev. D **72**, 094020 (2005).

[8] C. Lorce and B. Pasquini, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014015 (2011); C. Lorce', B. Pasquini, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, arXiv:1111.4827 [hep-ph].

[9] Y. Hatta, arXiv:1111.3547 [hep-ph].

[10] J. C. Collins, Phys. Lett. B **536**, 43 (2002); S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang and I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. B **642**, 344 (2002); A. V. Belitsky, X. Ji and F. Yuan, Nucl. Phys. B **656**, 165 (2003).

[11] E. Leader, arXiv:1109.1230 [hep-ph].

[12] J.C.Collins, *Foundations of Perturbative QCD*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011; X. Ji, J. P. Ma and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 034005 (2005).

[13] A. V. Belitsky, X. Ji and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074014 (2004).

[14] A. V. Belitsky and A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rept. **418**, 1 (2005).

[15] P. Hoodbhoy, X. Ji and W. Lu, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 014013 (1999).

[16] X. Ji, X. Xiong, F. Yuan, to be published.

[17] B. U. Musch, P. Hagler, J. W. Negele and A. Schafer, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 094507 (2011).

[18] P. Hoodbhoy and X. -D. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114042 (1999) [hep-ph/9908275].

[19] A. V. Manohar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 289 (1991).

[20] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Stratmann and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 072001 (2008).